26

¹Refers to court's docket number.

States District Court for the District of Nevada. 1 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the pleadings and 2 memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) 3 4 and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation 5 (#131) entered on December 8, 2010, should be adopted and accepted. 6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation 7 (#131) entered on December 8, 2010, is adopted and accepted. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for an Injunctive Order to Preserve 9 Evidence/TRO (#124) is DENIED. 10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Judicial Intervention to Order 11 Preservation of Evidence and Reverse Dismissal (#128) is DENIED as moot in light of the Report and 12 Recommendation (#131) and the District Court's orders denying reconsideration (#115) and denying 13 Plaintiff's Motion to Vacate (#127). 14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Strike Plaintiff's Motion for an 15 Injunctive Order to Preserve Evidence/TRO (#126) is GRANTED. 16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' Second Motion to Strike (#130) is GRANTED in light of the Report and Recommendation (#131). 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 DATED this 6th day of April, 2011. Elsihi 20 21 22 LARRY R. HICKS 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 26 2